Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,805	ZACH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit .
	Nathan S Mammen	3671
All Participants:	Status of Application:	allowed
(1) Nathan S Mammen.	(3)	
(2) <u>Dennis Thomte</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 January 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ North of Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
		· ·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 18, 27, 31, 32		•
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent No. 4,811,794 to Greene		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the applicate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sediment. 	tion. The examiner will provide a viparate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Thomte to state that claim 32 would be held as obvious over the Greene '794 patent. Mr. Thomte agreed to an examiner's amendment cancelling claim 32 (and dependent claim 27) so that the instant application could proceed to allowance. Mr. Thomte also authorized corrections for minor informalities in claim 18, claim 31 and in the abstract.